



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/821,657

04/08/2004

H. Garrett Wada

100/18002

8775

21569 7590 03/17/2008  
CALIPER LIFE SCIENCES, INC.  
605 FAIRCHILD DRIVE  
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94043-2234

EXAMINER

SALMON, KATHERINE D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1634

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/17/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/821,657	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WADA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KATHERINE SALMON	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KATHERINE SALMON.

(3) Gary Wanda.

(2) Ram Shukla.

(4) Ann Peterson.

Date of Interview: 29 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kawabata et al. and Krylov et al. .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discuss the breadth of Claim 1. Further discussed the limitations of the invention which are novel over the cited prior art. Discuss the differences between the instant application and Kawabata et al. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Katherine Salmon/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1634

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required